

Chapter 4 Limit of Functions

Date

Th 1. Let $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ Then \bar{D} :

(i) x_0 is a cluster pt ^(w.r.t. D) (also called non-isolated pt or accumulation pt, in notation $x_0 \in \bar{D}^c$ or $x_0 \in \bar{D}^a$) : $\forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in D \setminus \{x_0\}$ s.t. $|x - x_0| < \delta$, that is

$$\forall \delta > 0, V_\delta(x_0) \cap (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \neq \emptyset$$

(ii) \exists a seq (x_n) in $D \setminus \{x_0\}$ s.t. $\lim_n x_n = x_0$

(iii) $\text{dist}(x_0, D \setminus \{x_0\}) = 0$ where

$$\text{dist}(x_0, D \setminus \{x_0\}) := \inf \{ |x_0 - x| : x \in D \setminus \{x_0\} \}$$

From now on (unless explicitly stated otherwise), let

$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in \bar{D}^c$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$

Say that $f(x) \rightarrow l$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|f(x) - l| < \epsilon \text{ whenever } x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Th 2 (Uniqueness) Suppose also that $f(x) \rightarrow l'$ (in addition to $f(x) \rightarrow l$) as $x \rightarrow x_0$. Then $l = l'$ (crucial that $x_0 \in \bar{D}^c$)

Th 3 (Local-Boundedness Th). Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l$

Then $\exists M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(*) \quad |f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|f(x) - l| < 1 \quad \forall x \in (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0).$$

Let $M = |l| + 1$. Then $(*)$ holds (why?).

Note. Readjust M if necessary one can replace $(*)$ by

$$(**) \quad |f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{D} \cap V_\delta(x_0).$$

(separately consider the case when $x_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, and otherwise).

Th 4 (Order-Preserving). Let $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{D}^c$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\alpha < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l < \beta$$

Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$(\#) \quad \alpha < f(x) < \beta \quad \forall x \in (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Proof. Pick any $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\beta - l, l - \alpha\}$.
Then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - l| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0).$$

Noting $l - \varepsilon \geq l - (l - \alpha) = \alpha$ and
 $l + \varepsilon \leq l + (\beta - l) = \beta$

and

$$l - \varepsilon < f(x) < l + \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

we see that (#) holds.

Remark. Please state and prove the corresponding results for $\alpha = -\infty$ or $\beta = +\infty$.

Cor. Suppose $f(x) \geq \beta \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_{\delta_0}(x_0)$
with some $\delta_0 > 0$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \geq \beta$
provided that the limit exists (in \mathbb{R}).

Proof (contrapositively) Suppose not:
 $l = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) < \beta$. Then ---
(remember $x_0 \in D^c$).

Th 5 (Local-Boundedness with non-zero limits)

Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l \neq 0$. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \frac{|l|}{2} < |f(x)| < \frac{3|l|}{2} \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0).$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon := \frac{|l|}{2}$ (positive!). Then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - l| < \frac{|l|}{2} \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

Since $\pm(|f(x)| - |l|) \leq |f(x) - l|$ it follows that

$$|f(x)| - |l|, |l| - |f(x)| < \frac{|l|}{2} \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_\delta(x_0)$$

i.e. (*) holds.

Th 6 (Order-Preserving & Squeeze Principle).

Let $f_i: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in D^c$. Then

(i) Suppose $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ s.t. $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \quad \forall x \in (D \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap V_{\delta_0}(x_0)$

Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_1(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_2(x)$ provided that both exist

(ii) Suppose $f_1(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_2(x) \quad \forall x \in D$ and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_1(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_2(x) (= l, \text{ say}) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}$$

Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ exists and equals l

Warning (ii) does not follow from (i).

Computation Rules. Let $f, f_1, f_2 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in D^c$.

$$(i) \quad \left| \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \right| = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} |f(x)|$$

if $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l$ exists (in \mathbb{R})

$$(ii) \quad k \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (k f(x)) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}$$

(under the same condition as (i))

(iii) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_i(x) = l_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f_1(x) \pm f_2(x)) = l_1 \pm l_2$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x)) = l_1 l_2 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{in particular} \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (f_1(x))^2 = l_1^2 \end{array} \right)$$

and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{l_1}{l_2}$ provided that $l_2 \neq 0$ and $f_2(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in D$

(iv) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l$ and $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in D$

Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \sqrt{f(x)} = \sqrt{l}$ (and $l \geq 0$)

All these follow from the corresponding results of Ch. 3 (Sequential Limits) together with the following sequential criterion for limits:

Th6 (Sequential Criterion). Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in D^c$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then \Leftrightarrow :

- (i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l$
- (ii) $\lim_n f(x_n) = l$ for all seq (x_n) in $D \setminus \{x_0\}$ convergent to x_0 .

Th6*. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in D^c$. Then \Leftrightarrow :

- (i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ exists in \mathbb{R}
- (ii)* $\lim_n f(x_n)$ exists in \mathbb{R} whenever (x_n) is a seq in $D \setminus \{x_0\}$ convergent to x_0 .

But, we would like to prove the computation rule results direct from definition rather than by results of the preceding chapter.

Example. You have done the following question:
 if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = 2$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_n^3 - 3}{z_n^2 - 3} = 5$$

Hence, with $f(x) = \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3}$, $D = \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 = 2$
 together with Th 6 (on sequential criterion)
 we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} = 5 \quad (\text{with } x_0 = 2)$$

Another method is to apply the quotient rule for function limits. And yet another important way is via definition 1 that is,
 $\forall \epsilon > 0$, to find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} - 5 \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2\}) \cap V_\delta(2)$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 3} - 5 \right| = \frac{|x^3 - 5x^2 + 12|}{|x^2 - 3|} = \frac{|x - 2| |x^2 - 3x - 6|}{|x^2 - 3|}$$

and so wish to find $m, M > 0$ such that

$$(\#) \begin{cases} |x^2 - 3x - 6| \leq M & \forall x \in V_\delta(2) \setminus \{2\} \subseteq (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta) \\ m \leq |x^2 - 3| & \forall x \in V_\delta(2) \setminus \{2\} \subseteq (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta) \end{cases}$$

Further

$$x \in (2 - \delta, 2 + \delta) \text{ means } 2 - \delta < x < 2 + \delta$$

and so

$$4 - 4\delta < 4 - 4\delta + \delta^2 = (2 - \delta)^2 < x^2 \quad (\text{provided that } \delta < 2)$$

which implies

$$1 - 4\delta < x^2 - 3$$

Therefore m in (#) can be taken to be
 $1-4\delta$ provided that $1-4\delta$ is positive
 (e.g. if $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$ then take $m = \frac{1}{2}$)

With that kind of δ , M in (#) can be
 found accordingly: ($0 < x < 2+\delta < 3$)

$$|x^2 - 3x - 6| \leq |x| + 3|x| + 6 < (2+\delta) + 3 \times 3 + 6$$

$$\leq 3^2 + 3 \times 3 + 6 = 24$$

Therefore, if $x \in V_\delta(2)$ with $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$, one has

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 2} - 5 \right| \leq \frac{24|x-2|}{\frac{1}{2}} = 48|x-2| < 48\delta \leq \varepsilon$$

provided that my $\delta > 0$ satisfies the
 additional requirement that $\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48}$
 (in addition to $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$). Therefore
 the formal proof can be as follows:

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $\delta = \min\left\{\frac{1}{8}, \frac{\varepsilon}{48}\right\}$ (so δ is
 a positive number & $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$, $\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{48}$)

Suppose $|x-2| < \delta$. Then

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - 3}{x^2 - 2} - 5 \right| = \frac{|x-2||x^2 - 3x - 6|}{|x^2 - 3|} \leq \frac{|x-2| \cdot 24}{\frac{1}{2}} < 48\delta \leq \varepsilon$$

because $|x-2| < \delta \leq \frac{1}{8}$ so $\frac{15}{8} < x < 2 + \frac{1}{8} < 3$

and $\frac{1}{2} < x^2 - 3$ and $|x^2 - 3x - 6| < 3^2 + 9 + 6 = 24$

(\rightarrow and 24 are not the "best" but they serve the job!)